

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/763,608

Applicant(s)

SOBEL ET AL.

Examiner

Sherali Ishrat

Art Unit

2624

## All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Kenneth Nigon.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 1 June 2007

Time: 1:00 PM

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

37-38

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
SHERALI ISHRAT  
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that pending claims 19-36 are allowable over prior art of record. However claims 36-37 raises the issue of 35 USC 101 because claims 37-38 recite "A carrire wave carrying a sequence of instruction". In order to expedite the prosecution of the application Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claims 37-38 by an examiner's amendment.